

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/954,597 09/12/2001 Orville Phillip Frazee 8276 28782 08/20/2003 WILLIAM E HEIN EXAMINER **PO BOX 335** TAMAI, KARL I LOVELAND, CO 80539-0335 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/954,597		FRAZEE, ORVILLE PHILLIP	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Tamai IE Karl		2834	
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the material of the period for reply within the set or extended perioder of the periode of the peri	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply w sximum statutory period will d for reply will, by statute, communication months after the mailing de	(a). In no event, how within the statutory min apply and will expire ause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/29/2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)☐ accepte	ed or b) Object	ed to by the Exar	niner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a					l application).
a) ☐ The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provi	sional applicati	on has been rece	eived.	
Attachment(s)		. •		. — , ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residue of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	on Summary		Part of Paper I	No. 08182003

Application/Control Number: 09/954,597

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The amended title "CONTROLLABLE DISK TYPE MOTOR CAPABLE OF HIGH OUTPUT TOROUE" has been entered into the specification. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner suggested: "CONTROLLABLE DISK TYPE MOTOR WITH EQUAL NUMBER OF STATOR AND ROTOR MAGNETIC POLES"..

Drawings

2. The objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The rejection of Claims 6 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3.1981 P. a. (18)

Application/Control Number: 09/954,597 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patarchi (WO99/276635) and Shtipelman (US 4,922,145). Patarchi teaches every aspect of the invention except a non-magnetic housing. Shtipelman teaches the housing being non-magnetic. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Patarchi with a non-magnetic housing because Shtipelman teaches aluminum as the preferred material for the housing, and because a non-magnetic housing would reduce flux losses.

6. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patarchi (WO99/276635) and Shtipelman (US 4,922,145), in further view of Lohr (US 3,566,165). Patarchi and Shtipelman teach every aspect of the invention except an output flange on the shaft. Lohr teaches an output flange 20 for driving a wheel. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Patarchi and Shtipelman with an output flange of Lohr to drive a wheel.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/29/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,597 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

8. The Applicant's argument Patarchi is a caseless motor is not persuasive. Patarchi figures 4 and figure 6 show a case for the motor. Patarchi teaches the case provides a cool oil circuit 90. The Applicant's argument regarding the "like" plurality of pairs of ferromagnetic pole pieces is not persuasive because a "like" plurality refers to the "even plurality" of rotor magnets, which is shown in figure 6. The Applicant's argument regarding hindsight reconstruction is not persuasive the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon the references, not on the Applicant's specification (see In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971) holding that it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper). In the instant application motivation was properly drawn from the cited references. The Applicant's argument that "like refers to the same number of rotor and stator magnets" is not persuasive because the limitation is not claimed. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case,

19148 1 (18)

Art Unit: 2834

the prior art provides motivation for each rejection: in regards to the aluminum casing, Shtipelman teaches it is the preferred material the flux is contained within the magnetic stator path which inherently reduces flux losses; in regards to the output flange, Lohr teaches the motor is used to drive a wheel through the output flange 20; therefore the rejections are properly motivated and maintained.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7066.

2.18136 F 116 c

Art Unit: 2834

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703) 308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER August 18, 2003

> KARL TAMANNER PRIMARY EXAMINER